G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

G.P. No 565-7 d. 6.5.03.
E.F. 12-01

S.R File No. 140 — 11.

1915.

(Sep. Rev.)

Serial Nos. 1 — 4

Later references.

8 Ly
8

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 4

Part B for April 1915

SUBJECT.

Claim of the Inamdar of Biriakana halli T. Narsipur talukk to the Toddy Revenue of his Dakkala Kendanakoppal.

Sl 1. From the Spl. Asst. Comr. No. 1232 d. 8-1-12.

Forwards certain copies of correspondence with the D.C. Mysore on the Inamdar's claim to the Toddy Revenue & Solicits the orders of Govt whether the Inamdar's. Can be recognised to have the right for Toddy Revenue of Dakkale Kendanakoppal

Sl 2 Do — Do — No H. 6067 d. 20-1-13

Calls for further information, & a clear report on the position the Dakkale village occupies with regard to the Asli Village.

8/3

GPB—5,000—22-10-16

G.R.S. 11] 191

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

NOTES.

1460-11

File No. ~~139-11~~

FILE HEADING.

Claim of the Inamdar of Binakanhalli T Narasipur Taluk to the toddy revenue of its dakhala Kendanakoppal

From The Excise Comr

No. 5232 Dated 8/6/12 Received 6/6/12

Diary No. 2284	Enclos. 2 Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

With reference to Govt. No 565-7 Ret-12-Old 6/5/03 forwards copies of certn correspondence with the DC. Mysore on the subject & solicits orders of Govt as to whether the DC's explanation can be accepted and the Govt order recognising the right of the inamdar to the toddy revenue of Binakanhalli may be extended to its dakhala Kendankoppal also -

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1. The cases of the kind are becoming too many for all the Mysore Dists -

2. In one of the cases a draft was submitted yesterday seeking the refund of the toddy revenue of the

8/12-11 No

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G.R. S. 12]
neral and Revenue Secretariat.

8M File No. 1460 of 19 14

Serial No.

Dakhali village also (Hosur Dakhli to Jainur, Channarayapatna Taluk) on the ground that both the principal & Dakhli village virtually formed one & the same village.

8M 172-10
2 unrecorded collections in Srs (26) A & B
Separate file

3. But in the case of Karlakere & Kallumallipura, Dakhli village to Karadahalli in Nagamangala Taluk ~~Gorabbana~~ we have called for some information in order to find out whether under the existing circumstances it can be considered that all these 3 villages form one & the same village or as quite independent villages requiring separate orders in respect of each village.

4. Orders required or whether similar information may be called for in this case also

Dy 50
24/4/12

RR 25-1-12 5. 2f, as stated by the Deputy Commr Kundana Koppal is a Dakhila village of Bisalkanhalli, then the G.O. allowing the claim in respect

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

Serial No.

of the latter village must be held to recognise the claim in respect of the Dakhala village also. It is difficult to see how this conclusion can be escaped. The question whether a separate Shp need be retained in the Dakhala village – if that is one then – may be remitted to the Gr. Commr for consideration and disposal.

6 The original investigation might have been perfunctory but it seems to be too late now to say that the Gp of 6th May 1903 did not does not include the claim in respect of the Dakhala village.

7 A similar case was sent up for orders today. This may also be dealt along with that case.*

20/1/12 SM

1st C/Dewan 8 It seems to me that these fresh claims to Zoddy revenue in Dakhala villages should be formally investigated & reported upon before they can be accepted.

27/1/12 [illegible]

* Not yet linked 8M 4/9/11 8M 21-10 & 1200/11 Dewan's Memo Correspondence 21.9.12 Misp. Off

14732 2.2.1912

Pl. please link file 8M 21-10 Misp.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

P.O.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 140 of 1911

Serial No.

9. I am afraid that Mr Read's many great Confusion in Irrawaddy villages are considered & treated separately from the asli villages of which they are Lakhli — The two should in each case be treated similarly. Mr Brown 2
The present case though in Kyaukse Appal should be treated in the same way as Bassein Lakhli

13/11

23/7/11

10 Put up draft please

7/11/12

11 A draft is subd.

12 As regards the preparation of record bills details regarding the existence of shops and stores and what arrangements existed during the vend form system ~~and Issue of the form~~ & which will have to be carefully ascertained by the Excise Commr. 13 Please make a mat. copy

Note — Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

SR File No. 140 of 1914

Serial No.

and Sundry.

LB

14/1/15

14.
Fair Draft Subd.
M. 83 Mon
14/1/15

15 Draft may be appd

LB

16/1/15

2nd C/16 I do not understand the case clearly either from the Excise C's letter or the enclosed Dy C's letter. Whether the Dakhla village should get the same treatment or not depends on whether it is included in the same Sanad and whether proof of enjoyment &c are the same in its case. A clearer report

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

SR File No. 140 of 1911

Serial No.

should be obtained about it. The order about the Asali village was passed about 10 years ago.

28/12/12

Submit to

3/1

17 Please bring up in person

3/1/13.

18

Draft sent as directed.

83 Bdl.

9/1/13.

19 Issue

2 To

16.1.13

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

No. FL 4067 & 20.1.13

Calls for further information & a clearer report on the position the Dakhala village occupies with regard to the Asli village.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

(7

J. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | SA File No. 140 of 1914.

Serial No. 3.

From the Excise Commr in Mysore
No. 2520 of dtd 2-9-1913.

Forwards the letter from the Deputy Commr on the subject and states that under the circumstances therein stated the village in question stands on the same footing as its asli village Binakanahalli for purposes of the recognition of the title to the Excise revenue from toddy.

2. The three asli villages of Binakanahalli, Hegadahalli & Nagalapura villages with one dakla village attached to each are mentioned in the sanad, but the names of dakla villages are not given. In the analogous case of Bagli, Jamnur, etc, the reason why the names of dakla villages are not given in the Inam records as given. These villages in question have also come under the operation of the Survey Dept.

3. It is also stated that the Shop at Kendenkoppal has been working within the asli limits of the asli village Binakanahalli. As the

Enclosure to Sl no 4 (Pages 14 to 16) in cover flag A

Enclosures to Sl nos 2 & 3 in this file.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2-11-14

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

SR File No. 140 of 1911.

Serial No.

Dy Comrs and the Excise Comrs hold that the Dakhla village stands on the same footing as the asli village Bmakanahalli, the claim to the toddy revenue of which has been allowed.

22 Subd for orders with the drafts already put up.

Mr. 23 Submitted with reference to para 16 above.

SR

While in G.O. of 1903 the right of the Inamdar in Bmakanahalli village was recognised, a reference was made by the Dy Comr to the Excise Comr regarding the Dakhla village Kendanakoppal.

Subd

to the Excise Comr

regarding claim for the Dakhla village

Kendanakoppal.

Q

that this village has a Dakhla village is entered in the original inam settlement Rgr or in the Inam Samvad also. But the name is not mentioned anywhere. Regarding enjoyment even in the original papers & recognising the claim for the asli village nothing is mentioned. More than this

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2-11-14

1150

C9

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | SR File No. 140 of 1911.

Serial No.

cannot be expected for the Dalkola village.

24. It is a matter for orders if the claim may be recognised. Amount draft is in the file

2nd member) kg 22/-

25. The claim has to be recognised as there are precedents for that in Mysore first. draft-s

the Rd draft-s officer

26/3/15

abrB

26 The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

4 Prof. 5527 93-4-15.

Rules that the Wadiale village may be given the same treatment as the Aarale village

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2-11-14

OFFICE OF THE EXCISE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated.....January.....*19*12.

DIARY No. 2284

From

H. H. Sparkes, Esquire,

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

	10/1-1912
SE	S.R.
FILE	460-38-11
SERIAL No.	1

To

The *Secretary to the Government of*
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

I have the honor to state that Government in their order No. 565-7/Ex.F.12-01, dated the 6th May 1903, have recognised the right to the Excise Revenue from toddy of Inam Binakanahalli and Megada--halli villages in the T. Narsipur Taluk and the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District, has submitted a refund bill in respect of Kendana--koppal village on the ground that it is a dakhla of Inam Binakanahalli village.

2. The Deputy Commissioner was called upon to explain in detail the circumstances under which the existence of Kendanakoppal shop mentioned in para 1 supra was lost sight of at the time of investiga--tion and a copy of his report on the subject together with a copy of my docket No. 1277. dated the 31st July 1911 to which the afore--said report is a reply are herewith submitted for favour of perusal and such orders as the Government may deem fit to pass.

3. If the Government accepts the explanation furnished by the Deputy Commissioner and extends the privilege conceded in the case of Binkanahalli and Megadahalli villages in respect of Kendanakoppal village also for reasons therein specified the usual refund bills will be submitted regarding this village on receipt of their order on the subject.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Excise Commissioner.

Enclosure (2)

Copy of docket No. 1277, dated 31-7-1911 from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

- - - - - - - - - - -

In returning the toddy refund bills received with his docket No. 62, dated the 22nd Instant, being the amount of Excise Revenue from toddy due to the Inamdar in respect of Kendanakoppal village reported to have been situated within the limits of Inam Binakana-halli village, T. Narsipur Taluk on the score that the claim regard-ing the latter village having already been recognised by Government in their order No. 565-7/Ex.F.12-01, dated the 6th May 1903, has the honor to observe as follows:-

1. On examining the list of villages published under Government Order No. 9295/L.R.254-04-17, dated the 24th March 1905, as also the villagewar register of population published in the Census Report of 1901, the name of Kendanakoppal does not appear in either but it is being repeated every year in the departmental schedule from 1892-3.

2. The aforesaid Government order while recognising the claim of Binakanahalli village specifies also the extent of aliena-tion in respect of other two villages, viz, Megadahalli and Nagala-kere and the name of these villages also appear in the list of villages referred to in para 1 supra.

If the Kendanakoppal shop is within the limits of Binakanahalli village the reason why and under what circumstances its mention in the records of investigation pertaining to the villages referred to in para 2 supra was lost sight of at the time of investigation requires explanation.

4. A detailed report on all the points referred to above may be submitted together with the records of investigation relating to these villages

---

" Copy "

Excise Commissioner.

Encl to 8L/ (3)

Copy of docket No. 92, dated 12-8-1911 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

- - - - - - -

His docket No. 1277, dated the 2nd Instant, returning the todd; refund bill of the Kendanakoppal toddy shop situated within the pri--vileged Inam village of Binakanahalli in the T. Narsipur Taluk, with certain observations.

Has the honor to state in reply that although no direct explanation for the inconsistency noticed in para 1 of his observations can now be had, the fact that a toddy shop named after the dakhali village of Kendanakoppal has been working within the limits of the Asali Binakanahalli ever since the year 1892-93, is borne out both by the Departmental Sale schedules and the record ending with the report of the Amildar, T. Narsipur Taluk, No. 144, dated the 11th March 1910 which herein enclosed for reference and kind return.

2. As regards the observation made in para 2 and the issue raised in para 3 of the docket under advertance, undersigned begs to state that the two other villages, namely, Megadahalli and Nagalgere being themselves Asali, the Inamdars' claims in respect of them naturally came within the scope of the Government Order No. 565-7/Ex.F.12-01, dated the 6th May 1903, settling the toddy rights in the case, while the dakhali Kendanakoppal did not obtain a specific mention therein for the reason suggested at para 2 of this office docket No. 591, dated the 1st April 1911 in re the analagous case of Karadahalli in the Nagamangala Taluk.

3. The record connected with the investigation of the claims in respect of the aforementioned villages held previously to the pass--ing of the Government Order referred to in para 2 above, is not forthcoming in this office.

4

4. The refund bill is resubmitted with its accompaniments for favour of the necessary action and transmission to Government for sanction.

" Copy "

Excise Commissioner.

2

7-1-13

6

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 140-11
Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 2284

No. 76 4067
20-1-1913

General and Revenue Secretariat
(SR)
the 19

To

The Excise Commissioner
Sir,

Date of issue 26-1-13

Signed by Mr Rangaswamy

Adverting to your letter No. 5232 of the 8th January 1912 regarding the claim to the body revenue of Kendenakoppal village, Z. Narasipur Tk, Mysore Dt., I am directed to request you to see so good as to state whether the village is included

over.

SUBJECT.

was granted and whether proof of enjoyment and other evidences necessary for ~~regog~~ recognising the claim to the Tody Revenue ~~can be adduced~~ - are the same as in the ca by the Asali Village or can be separately addu with respect to the Dakk Village alone.

I am to ~~do~~ add that a clearer report ~~regarding~~ on the position which the Dakka village occupies with regard to the asli village may be ~~obtained~~ furnished to enable Govt to decide the claim in question.

I have &c

sd/-

for Secy to Govt

List of enclosures,

Copied by KR 18/1/13

Examined by

M-
-83
sd/-
17/1/13

OFFICE OF THE EXCISE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

Bangalore, dated....30th..August.....1913.

FROM

M. Kantaraj Urs, Esq., B.A.,
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

K.R. Srinivesiengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

DIARY No. 1150

1913-1914
SECTION: SR
FILE No. 140-11
SERIAL No. 3

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 4067/S.R.140-11-2, dated the 20th January 1913, requesting to be furnished with certain addi--tional information about the Inamdar's claim to the Excise Revenue from toddy of Kendankoppal village, T. Narsipur Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of docket No. 158, dated the 25th Instant, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, together with the file of vernacular correspondence received therewith for favour of reference and return and to state that under the circumstances therein stated the village in question stands on the same footing like asali Binakana -halli village for purpose of recognition of the title to the Excise Revenue from toddy.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Excise Commissioner.

Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Gen. and Rev. Depts.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

GPB—1,350—25-11-12

(name not given even as the Indian Deputy of Engineering).

Enclosure 8 (1)

Docket No. 158, dated 25/27th August 1913, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to his docket No. 5606, dated 21/24th January 1913, forwarding copy of Government letter No. Fl.4067/S.R.140--11-2, dated 20th January 1913, and requesting that the information therein called for, may be furnished early etc., has the honor to state as follows:-

From the copy of the Sannad submitted, it is observed that the asali villages, Binakanahalli, Megadahalli and Nagalgere and the three Dakhala villages were granted by His Highness the late Sri Srikrishna--raja Wodayar Bahadur, for maintenance and support of Sri Ahobila-Swamigala Mutt. Mr. Andapuram Krishnaiyengar, agent of the above 6 Inam villages deposes before the Amildar of Mysore Taluk that Kendana-koppal is a dakhala village to asali Binakanahalli village and this is included in the same Sannad by which Binakanahalli village was granted; but the name of Dakhala village is not mentioned in the Sannad. This fact may be adduced from survey records as well as Inam Registers.

2. The revenue derived from Ichal Pairu is conceded equally to all these villages and the Agent also deposes that the records relating to the proof of enjoyment and other evidence necessary for recognising the claim to the toddy revenue of dakhala are the same as in the case of asali village and they are taken away by Pullabudam-gudi Krishnamachar the previous agent of the said six villages and so they are not available for reference.

3. In this office docket No. 92, dated 12th August 1911, it is clearly mentioned that the fact that a toddy shop named after the dakhala village of Kendanakoppal has been working within the limits of the Asali Binakanahalli village ever since the year 1892-93 is borne out by the departmental sale schedules.

(9)

4. As the original sannad is in the Ahobalaswamigala Mutt in the Mannargudi Tanjore District, so a copy of the sannad is herewith submitted for reference and kind return.

"Copy"

for Excise Commissioner.

Otaka that the Dakka village may be given the same treatment as the Asli village.

Fair Draft

(10

G.R.S. 28]

2/3

File No. 140-11

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to 1150. 2284

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, *the* 19

Date of issue 3-4-15

Signed by W Nanjundaiya

R

READ— Correspondence ending with letter no: 1520 S232 of the 8/1/19[illegible] from the Excise Commr in Mys soliciting the instructions of Govt as to whether the orde of Govt no: 565-7 EA 7-12-01 of 6/5/1903 ~~ORDER THEREON—No.~~ recognising the claim of the Inamdar to the toddy excise revenue of the village of Bmakanahalli in the T. Nara sipur Tq, Mysore Dt, may be

over.

SUBJECT.

GPB—10,000-29-9-10

21/3/15

held to be applicable to the Dakhla village of Kendankoppal also.

---

order thereon:-

No 552 / & 3rd April 15

Govt are of opinion that the G.O. referred to above recognising the claim of the Inamdar to the ash village should be held to be applicable to the Dakhla village of Kendankoppal also.

Sd/

for Secy to Govt

well. 26/3/15

To the Excise Commr in Mysore, returning the records.

MS. 83
14/4/15